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#### Abstract

For lattice sites at integer values along the line let the probability of a bond between sites $m$ and $n$ be $p /|m-n|^{s}, m \neq n, 0 \leqslant p<1,1<s \leqslant 2$. We prove that for $p<p_{\mathrm{c}}^{(\mathrm{B})}=1 / 2 \zeta(s)$ there is no infinite cluster and that for $s>2$ there is never an infinite cluster.


Long range percolation in one dimension has been considered by Zhang et al (1983). The sites are the integers $(0, \pm 1, \ldots)$ and for two sites $m$ and $n$ the probability that there exists a bond between them is $p /|m-n|^{s}$ with $p$ and $s$ parameters and $0 \leqslant p \leqslant 1$. If this problem should turn out to be similar to that of long range interactions for the one-dimensional Ising model (Kac 1978), then the interesting range of $s$ would be 1 to 2 and one could hope to have a one dimensional but nevertheless non-trivial model for the study of percolation. Define $p_{c}$ to be the critical value for the formation o infinite clusters. In this paper we show that there is no infinite cluster for $p<p_{\mathrm{c}}^{(\mathrm{B})}=$ $1 / 2 \zeta(s)$ where $p_{c}^{(\mathrm{B})}$ is the critical value on an appropriate Bethe lattice model that we define below and $\zeta(s)$ is the Riemann zeta function. Thus $p_{\mathrm{c}} \geqslant p_{\mathrm{c}}^{(\mathrm{B})}>0$ for $s>1$. Then if there is a regime in which infinite clusters do exist this problem will indeed have a non-trivial transition. Our result differs from that of Zhang et al (1983) who claim that $p_{\mathrm{c}}=0$ for $1<s<2$, i.e. that there is an infinite cluster for any non-zero $p$. We also prove that for $s>2$ and $p<1$ there is no infinite cluster. In passing we mention that preliminary Monte Carlo results suggest that the transition is fairly close to the Bethe lattice bound for $s$ not close to 2 .

The Bethe lattice model that we use for comparison is defined as follows. Instead of the usual finite number of branches emanating from each site we have a countable infinity. For each of these branches there is probability $a_{n}\left(n=0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \ldots, 0 \leqslant a_{n} \leqslant\right.$ 1) that it contains a bond connecting the sites at its ends. It is not difficult to show (see appendix) that there is an infinite percolating cluster on this lattice if and only if $\Sigma a_{n}>1$ so that the critical value is $\Sigma a_{n}=1$. For comparison to the long range percolation model we shall take $a_{n}=p /|n|^{s}, n \neq 0, a_{0}=0$. The critical value of $p$ is therefore $p_{c}^{(\mathrm{B})}=1 / 2 \zeta(s)$ where $\zeta(s)=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 1 / n^{s}$, the Riemann zeta function. (This also turns out to be the critical value for a mean field theory for the one-dimensional model.)

In order to use the Bethe lattice for comparison we imagine that clusters are built on the long range model in the following way. We seek the cluster connected to the origin and to this end check the entire lattice for bonds between site 0 and site $k$, $k= \pm 1, \pm 2, \ldots$ Suppose there is success at sites $k_{1}, k_{2}, \ldots, k_{N}$. For each of these sites we check all possible bonds to other lattice sites but do not check whether they
are connected to each other since this has no effect on the ultimate cluster constituents. Again for all the new sites we check their possible connections, not testing each other or sites incorporated at previous stages. The origin is then part of a finite cluster if and only if this process terminates.

The foregoing procedure, although describing long range percolation on a line, is quite similar to the way one would check for an infinite cluster on the Bethe lattice. The only difference is that for each new site incorporated on the Bethe lattice a full collection of branches is tried. For the long range model many possible branches are eliminated, and in fact it is those with the highest probability of success that are preferentially eliminated since however slowly $1 / n^{s}$ drops off it does drop off. The bound is obtained by noting that if $p$ is sufficiently small that the iterative procedure for the Bethe lattice always (in probability) terminates (i.e. $p<p_{\mathrm{c}}^{(\mathrm{B})}=1 / 2 \zeta(s)$ ) then for the long range model it surely always terminates.

To prove our contention that for $s>2, p<1$, there is no infinite cluster we consider the question of how many bonds cross some particular point on the line. Specifically, define $A_{i j}$ to be a random variable taking the value 1 if there is a bond connecting $i$ to $j$, zero otherwise. (Thus $\left\langle A_{i j}\right\rangle=p /|i-j|^{s}, i \neq j$.) Let $T(k)$ be the number of bonds crossing the point $k+\frac{1}{2}$, that is

$$
T(k)=\sum_{\substack{i>k \\ j \leqslant k}} A_{i j}
$$

A value of $k$ for which $T(k)=0$ would interrupt any putative infinite cluster. Moreover, a finite density of such points would prevent the existence of infinite clusters, however exotic (cf Newman and Schulman 1981). However, it is easy to see that for any $k$ the probability that $T(k)=0$ is non-zero for $s>2$ and $p<1$. Thus

$$
\operatorname{Prob}(T(k)=0)=\operatorname{Prob}\left(\prod_{\substack{i>k \\ j \leqslant k}}\left(1-A_{i j}\right)=1\right)=\left\langle\prod_{\substack{i>k \\ j \leqslant k}}\left(1-A_{i j}\right)\right\rangle=\prod_{\substack{i>k \\ j \leqslant k}}\left(1-\frac{p}{|1-j|^{s}}\right)
$$

the last equality following from the independence of the $A_{i j}$ 's. For $p<1$ no individual factor in the product vanishes and the infinite product vanishes only if the sum

$$
\sum_{\substack{i>k \\ i \leqslant k}}|i-j|^{-s}
$$

diverges, which it does not for $s>2$. It follows by translation invariance and ergodicity that there is a finite density of interruptions along the line. (Ergodicity can be established by replacing the pair labelled random variables by an equivalent set labelled by individual sites.)

## Appendix. Bethe lattice with an infinity of branches and varying probabilities

The following demonstration is being provided because we do not know of any source in the literature. Arguments that are similar in spirit are given by Essam (1972, 1980). Let $x_{0}$ be a site on the Bethe lattice described above and let $B_{0}$ be the random variable taking the value one if there is an infinite cluster lying downstream from $x_{0}$ (or in Essam's (1972) terminology, there is an open walk of infinite length starting from $x_{0}$ ), zero otherwise. Then $\left\langle B_{0}\right\rangle=\rho$, the probability for the event just described. Let the
branches emanating from $x_{0}$ be labelled by $n$ and the sites they reach be labelled $x_{n}$. Let $A_{n}$ be the random variable taking the value one in case the branch from $x_{0}$ to $x_{n}$ has a bond, zero otherwise; let $\left\langle A_{n}\right\rangle=a_{n}$. Finally let $B_{n}$ take the value one if $x_{n}$ has an infinite cluster lying downstream of it, zero otherwise. The following relation is exact:

$$
\begin{equation*}
1-B_{0}=\prod_{n}\left(1-A_{n} B_{n}\right) . \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

All random variables on the right are independent of one another since each $B_{n}$ depends only on variables downstream of $x_{n}$ which are mutually disjoint and $A_{n}$ is upstream of $x_{n}$. Moreover, the $A_{n}$ are independent of one another. Therefore, taking expectation values we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
1-\rho=\prod_{n}\left(1-a_{n}\left\langle B_{n}\right\rangle\right) . \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

All the $\left\langle B_{n}\right\rangle$ equal $\rho$ since from any given site the infinite progression downstream looks exactly the same. Therefore $\rho$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
1-\rho=\prod_{n}\left(1-\rho a_{n}\right) . \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

The infinite product converges if $\Sigma a_{n}$ does, which we assume to be the case. $\rho=0$ is always a solution and we seek the percolation threshold by looking for the condition that brings a non-trivial solution of (3) to zero. Expand (3):

$$
\begin{equation*}
1-\rho=1-\rho \sum a_{n}+\rho^{2} \sum_{n} a_{n} \sum_{m>n} a_{m}+\mathrm{O}\left(\rho^{3}\right) . \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $\rho \neq 0$ and to lowest order in $\rho$ this yields

$$
\rho=\left[\left(\sum a_{n}\right)-1\right] /[\text { finite positive number }] .
$$

The denominator is finite since it is the double sum in (4) which is surely finite if $\Sigma a_{n}$ is. This establishes $\Sigma a_{n}=1$ as the percolation threshold.
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